



Facts for Government Representatives: Why It's Time Australia Exits the W.H.O.

AMENDMENTS TO THE INTERNATIONAL HEALTH REGULATIONS (IHR) **AND PANDEMIC TREATY/AGREEMENT CONCERNS**

Introduction:

The World Health Organization (W.H.O.) is an Agency of the United Nations (UN) and a World Economic Forum (WEF) Partner.

Documents in question:

1. Proposed Amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005) submitted in accordance with decision WHA75(9) (2022):

2023 Version: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf April 2024 update FOI 22/4/2024:
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:AP:44eb155a-367a-4240-827b-363d8ab4d6f7>
2. Pandemic Treaty/Agreement: https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf April 2024 update: <https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:AP:1100fc51-4135-44bd-a324-22ba36d85277>

The most worrying results of the proposed amendments include these issues:

1. **AUSTRALIANS WILL NOT BE IN CHARGE OF AUSTRALIA:** We do not need or want any non-elected overseas bureaucrats, especially those with vested interests and that are not accountable under any laws to dictate any responses, for health or otherwise, to Australians, circumventing democratic processes.
 - a) DIRECT AUSTRALIA TO IMPLEMENT THESE MEASURES VIA A NON-ELECTED BODY: “*National IHR Authorities shall coordinate the implementation of these Regulations within the territory of the State Party*”. (IHR Article 4,15, 16 & 42).
 - b) DIRECT AUSTRALIA TO ENGAGE WITH NON-STATE ACTORS “*operating in their respective jurisdictions with a view to achieving compliance with, and implementation of, health measures taken pursuant to these Regulations*”.
2. **UNDEMOCRATIC FORCE TO CONTROL AUSTRALIANS:** These changes remove bodily autonomy for the whole population, including our children. These powers will include pandemics and "potential pandemics" as declared solely by the W.H.O. Director General with no proof required. Directives include detention, surveillance and censorship.
 - a) ENFORCE MANDATORY MEDICAL TREATMENTS: Give the W.H.O. authority to require medical examinations, proof of vaccination or other prophylaxis and to implement contact tracing, **quarantine and treatment**. (IHR Article 18).



Facts for Government Representatives: Why It's Time Australia Exits the W.H.O.

- b) **ENFORCE SURVEILLANCE OF PEOPLE:** This includes “countering misinformation and disinformation” (IHR Annex 1, Core Capacities).

The WHO will control the narrative, including controlling research and development; censoring scientific debate; targeting and removing information. (Articles 1, 18 Pandemic Treaty)

- c) **ENFORCE GLOBAL HEALTH CERTIFICATES:** Institute a system of global health certificates, including test, vaccine, prophylaxis and recovery certificates and passenger locator forms. (IHR 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 35, 36 and 44 and Annexes 6 and 8 300+ IHRAs).

3. **ENABLE ACTION ON POTENTIAL EMERGENCIES:** Greatly expand the scope of the IHR to include scenarios that have “potential to impact public health,” as determined solely by the W.H.O. Director General.

A public health emergency won't need to be real and could relate to climate: the WHO will be able to declare a potential (not actual) public health emergency on its own and could extend to climate related issues. (IHR Articles 2, 5.4, 5.5, 12.2, 12.6)

4. **ONE HEALTH AND CLIMATE LOCKDOWNS:** Through ONE HEALTH they seek to control our entire environment. ONE HEALTH includes the entire biosphere. The term ONE HEALTH coupled with the phrase “whole/all of society” enables a scope of action with no discernible boundaries. (Articles 1, 5 Pandemic Treaty)).

- a) A whole-of-society approach goes beyond institutions; it influences and mobilises local and global:
- i. culture and media / censorship / digital ID / CBDC's
 - ii. rural and urban communities and all relevant policy sectors / farmers & food security
 - iii. education system / comprehensive sex education / transgender agenda
 - iv. transport sector / elimination of reliable & cost-effective fuel
 - v. environment and urban design / 15-minute cities / elimination of reliable & cost-effective forms of energy
- b) ONE HEALTH brings together all that is dangerous to our freedom.

5. **UNSPECIFIED, POTENTIALLY ENORMOUS FINANCIAL COSTS:** New Article 44A IHR Amendments states: “A mechanism shall be established for providing the financial resources on a grant or concessional basis to developing countries”. An uncosted commitment.

- a) The Pandemic Treaty/Agreement proposes significant obligations on parties to it and is more akin to a trade agreement as it sets up an international supply network which will be overseen by the W.H.O.
- b) The cost to Australia as a WHO member nation to be “pandemic ready” alone will be around \$5.29b a year – or 5% of our annual health budget.



Facts for Government Representatives: Why It's Time Australia Exits the W.H.O.

- c) Also of concern are the ALLOCATION PLAN (IHR Article 13A); OBLIGATIONS OF DUTY TO COOPERATE (IHR Annex 10).

The word “shall” appears in both the IHR amendments and Pandemic Treaty over 160 times.

CONCERNS ON THE W.H.O.:

1. W.H.O. COVID-19 RESPONSE

Has continually been wrong, including:

- a) on the origins of the virus;
- b) on hyper-inflated modelling of deaths;
- c) on anti common-sense health recommendations (Vitamins D & C, Zinc);
- d) on the recommendation of masks, lock downs and other non-evidence based, but society destroying measures; ignoring their own preparedness plan [WHO Non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza](#) as Australia also ignored the established [Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza](#).
- e) on the denigration of well tested, safe medications proven successful at preventing COVID-19 death and injury;
- f) on the wholesale support of an untested, experimental novel GMO/mRNA therapy to be deployed to entire populations – despite the lack of any data on safety, full pharmacokinetics, bio-distribution, genotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and carcinogenicity.

2. W.H.O. FUNDING

- a) The W.H.O., often characterised as “Member-led,” is 86% funded by its donors with its largest donor being The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, followed by the Gates run GAVI. The Gates controlled percentage of the donor funding is 88%.
- b) Any NGO beholden to corporate interests must not be given the power to override national constitutions and violate fundamental human rights.
<https://open.who.int/2020-21/contributors/overview/vcs>

3. W.H.O. LEADERSHIP

- a) W.H.O. Director General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus has been a member of the terrorist declared Tigray People's Liberation Front (TPLF). In January 2022, Ethiopia's foreign ministry called on the WHO to investigate its leader for supporting rebellious forces fighting the Ethiopian government. In October 2022 Ethiopia withheld its support and 28 other countries appointed Tedros for a second five-year term as W.H.O. Director General.
- b) Despite stating that it was his “top priority to ensure that the perpetrators are not excused but are held to account” after 80 aid workers, including at least 21 W.H.O. employees took part in Congo sex abuse during the Ebola crisis. The UN investigation found no misconduct. (W.H.O. is a UN Agency).



Facts for Government Representatives: Why It's Time Australia Exits the W.H.O.

THE SOLUTION:

We the Australian people are instructing our government representatives to REJECT the IHR Amendments and Pandemic Treaty/Agreement.

1. To have an honest and extensive discussion with the Australian people as to the COVID-19 measures that worked and those that should NEVER happen again. Australians are pursuing a Royal Commission on the COVID-19 Pandemic to identify and rectify the issues and ensure that measures contravening existing human rights and other national and international laws are NEVER engaged in again.
2. Australians must be in charge of Australia. We are able to make the best and most informed decisions for our nation. Our decision making should NEVER be undermined or outsourced.

It worthy to note this stand against the WHO is taking place on a global scale.

The **Dutch Parliament** has recently voted to [request a postponement of the vote on the IHR](#) and the new pandemic treaty at the World Health Assembly and, if this postponement is not obtained, to vote against the proposed amendments.

Louisiana Senate, USA has passed a Bill declaring Global Entities including [the WHO will have no authority](#) in their State.

The only conclusive answer for Australian leaders that wish to be seen by voters as part of the solution, not hellbent on extending licence given to those who have already harmed us is for Australia to EXIT THE WHO.

For more information regarding the WHO, please go to; <https://whoinsights.com/>

For more information regarding the peoples' voice on this matter, please visit:

<https://alignedcouncilofaustralia.com.au>

Thank you for your time and we would appreciate your support in standing with the Australian people.



Facts for Government Representatives: Why It's Time Australia Exits the W.H.O.

Addendum: International Opinion

David Bell, Senior Scholar at Brownstone Institute, is a public health physician and biotech consultant in global health. He is a former medical officer and scientist at the WHO.

Why Does the WHO Make False Claims Regarding Proposals to Seize States' Sovereignty?
<https://brownstone.org/articles/why-does-the-who-make-false-claims-regarding-proposals-to-seize-states-sovereignty/>

The Director General (DG) of the World Health Organization (WHO) states:

“No country will cede any sovereignty to WHO,”

referring to the WHO's new pandemic agreement and proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR), currently being negotiated. His statements are clear and unequivocal, and wholly inconsistent with the texts he is referring to.

A rational examination of the texts in question shows that:

1. The documents propose a transfer of decision-making power to the WHO regarding basic aspects of societal function, which countries *undertake* to enact.
2. The WHO DG will have sole authority to decide when and where they are applied.
3. The proposals are intended to be binding under international law.

“Continued claims that sovereignty is not lost, echoed by politicians and media, therefore raise important questions concerning motivations, competence, and ethics.”

Professor Francis Boyle, pre-eminent American human rights lawyer and professor of international law at the University of Illinois College of Law says:

With respect to the 300+ IHRAs and the Pandemic Treaty/Agreement,
“In my 50 years as a top international law and treaty expert, I have never seen an attempt by the global elite to create a totalitarian police state until now.”

Philipp Kruse – Attorney – Kruse Law, Switzerland.

“The content of these amendments that we have on our table since late 2022 is really threatening for our democracy and ultimately threatening our health and our lives as well.

'One Health' is a very vague concept that shall allow the WHO to actually base its decision, its declaration of a pandemic on even climate change or the reduction of natural species.

“We have to significantly increase the pressure towards our political representatives so that they can hold up the red card to their governments, to our governments, and say no, let's stop it immediately.” <https://www.freedom-research.org/p/interview-lawyer-philipp-kruse-about>